UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,469	04/21/2004	Michael D. Laufer	064391-5003 US04	5223
43850 7590 08/06/2007 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP (SF) 2 PALO ALTO SQUARE			EXAMINER	
			WOO, JULIAN W	
3000 El Camino Real, Suite 700 PALO ALTO, CA 94306			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
				DEL NABRA MODE
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			1	r -			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
		10/828,469	LAUFER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Julian W. Woo	3731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
WHI0 - External after af	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR THE VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN INSIGNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN EFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	26 May 2007.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	is action is non-final.				
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice un	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-159</u> is/are pending in the applied 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-159</u> are subject to restriction as	thdrawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of the oath or declaration is objected to by the oath or declaration is objected to be the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to be the oath or declaration is objected to be the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to be the oath of th	·					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B	ments have been received. ments have been received in a e priority documents have been sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice No	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	18) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/828,469 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-13 and 19-41, drawn to a method, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
 - II. Claims 14-18, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 600, subclass217.
 - III. Claims 42-47, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 606, subclass 205.
 - IV. Claims 48-68, drawn to a method, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
 - V. Claims 69-78, 87-94, and 125-137, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 606, subclass 153.
 - VI. Claims 79-86, 95-124, and 138-159, drawn to a method, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II, IV and III, and VI and V are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In these cases, invention II, III and V can be used to retract, manipulate, and join other tissues or non-biological materials.

Inventions II, III, and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different designs, modes of operation, and effects upon tissues.

Page 3

Inventions I, IV, and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not applied together, and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects upon tissues.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their

Application/Control Number: 10/828,469

in control Namber: 10/020,40

Art Unit: 3731

recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/828,469 Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian W. Woo whose telephone number is 571-272-4707. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH:6:30-4:00; alt. Fri.: 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tan-Uyen (Jackie) Ho can be reached on 571-272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Julian W. Woo

Julian W. Woo

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3731